Standards of Public Land Health Evaluation of 64029 WILL JOHNSON TANK Allotment [11/30/2006]

The Roswell Field Office conducted (RHA) Rangeland Health Assessments at 8 study sites within Will Johnson Tank, allotment #64029. These assessments evaluated Soil/Site Stability, Hydrologic Function and Biotic Integrity indicators within the vicinity of each study site. Existing monitoring data was incorporated into and in support of these field assessments. A summary of each assessment is attached and shown in the following table.

| Study Area or | | UPLAND | | | BIOTIC | | | RIPARIAN | |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Assessment Area | Meets | Monitor an Indicator | Does Not Meet | Meets | Monitor an Indicator | Does Not Meet | Meets | Monitor an Indicator | Does Not Meet |
| 64029- ARROYO-E205 | X | | | X | | | N/A | | |
| 64029- GRANDE-E206 | X | | | X | | | N/A | | |
| 64029-MARE- E204 | X | | | X | | | N/A | | |
| 64029-NORTH MILL-E207 | X | | | X | | | N/A | | |
| 64029- SANDHILLS #1-F278 | X | | | X | | | N/A | | |
| 64029- SANDHILLS #2-F303 | X | | | X | | | N/A | | |
| 64029-SW-F279 | X | | | X | | | N/A | | |
| 64029-WILL JOHNSON-E202 | X | * | | X | | | N/A | | |

Twenty-two (22) indicators for Rangeland Health were evaluated for public land on Will Johnson Tank, allotment #64029. Ten of these assessed soil site stability; 11 hydrologic function; and 13 biotic integrity. These qualitative assessments in conjunction with quantitative information gathered from previous data collected on 8 trend plot locations within this allotment were utilized to make rangeland health determinations. Quantitative evaluations are performed by the Roswell Field Office, which include some or all of the following: ground and vegetative cover and composition, production, frequency and ecological condition. These collections, which were initiated in the late 1970's/early 1980's, are scheduled and conducted approximately every 5 years.

There are eight study sites on this allotment. All were visited between March 21, 2007 and April 20, 2007. Four sites are Loamy CP-2; three are Very Shallow CP-4; and one is a Shallow CP-2. The allotment contains ten pastures (two are traps) with study sites in seven. Buckhorn pasture does not have a study site, and Sandhills pasture contains two study sites. These sites are intended to serve as key areas for the pastures and provide an indication of rangeland health for the pastures and for the allotment.

Soil is relatively stable throughout this allotment. No significant departures were identified at any of the study sites. Pedestalling was apparent at seven of the sites. Four sites showed a "moderate" departure from the respective ESDs which meant that active pedestalling was occurring. Gullies were noted at several of the study sites and were associated with a road or cow trails.

Hydrologic function was similar to Soil Stability. In general, herbaceous ground cover was either near or exceeded expected values. Several areas, however, are trending toward a "moderate" departure from the ESD due to changes in herbaceous ground cover.

Biotic integrity remains adequate throughout the allotment; however, all areas show a change in vegetative composition from that expected in the respective ESD. In most cases, desirable forage grasses have been reduced. Some areas show an increase in the shrub component. Invasive plants are not a significant problem on the allotment. Cholla is the most notable invasive plant. Broom snakeweed is generally higher than expected throughout the allotment.

The allotment is used by cattle. Grazing use was noted in Mare, Will Johnson, Arroyo, and Grande pastures. In these pastures, overall use was generally light at the time of the visit, but use on desirable grasses such as black grama and blue grama was typically moderate to heavy.

The entire allotment appears to be suitable habitat for mule deer and for pronghorn where they would be expected.

The following discussion is a site by site, pasture by pasture evaluation.

Arroyo pasture with its study site was visited on April 19, 2007. This pasture contains approximately 2165 acres. Roughly one-third is private land. The site representing this pasture is located near the center of the pasture on public land and is within a Very Shallow CP-4 ecosite. According to GIS, most of this pasture is comprised of Very Shallow CP-4 with smaller amounts of Loamy CP-2. Most of the pasture contains gently sloping, undulating terrain ranging from approximately 4100 to 4300 feet elevation. Middle Arroyo passes through the pasture. Cattle were in the pasture at the time of the visit, but use was slight to none at the study site.

Soil is very stable in the vicinity of the study site with little evidence of erosion. All soil stability indicators rated either "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Some soil loss has occurred as indicated by pedestalling, which was mostly limited to water flow patterns.

Hydrologic function was near what is expected for the site, but the increase in snakeweed may be affecting infiltration and runoff. Herbaceous cover was somewhat less than expected for the ecosite.

Indicators assessing biotic integrity for the site all fell into "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Late growing season precipitation was greater than normal in 2006, making the annual production relatively high compared to the production potential for the ecosite. The site has many attributes of a Loamy site as indicated by the dominance of tobosa grass. For a Very Shallow site, tobosa is not listed as one of the grasses occurring on the ecosite. There has been a shift in composition throughout this area. Black grama is significantly reduced from expected. Snakeweed has increased over expected and tobosa grass dominates the composition. The habitat is satisfactory for mule deer.

Grande pasture with its study site was visited on April 19, 2007. This pasture contains approximately 2673 acres. Most of the pasture is public land; approximately one-fifth is private land. The site representing this pasture is located near the center of the pasture and is within a Very Shallow CP-4 ecosite. According to GIS, most of this pasture is comprised of a Very Shallow CP-4 with a smaller amount of Limestone Hills CP-4. Most of the pasture contains gently sloping, undulating terrain ranging from approximately 4100 to 4500 feet elevation. Cattle were in the pasture at the time of the visit. Grazing use on black grama had been heavy.

Soil is relatively stable in the vicinity of the study site; however, there is evidence of erosion. All soil stability indicators rated either "slight to moderate" or "none to slight", but some are trending toward "moderate". Soil loss has occurred as indicated by pedestalling, which was most notable in shrubby areas.

Hydrologic function was rated similarly. The increase in shrubs has contributed to a slight departure from the ESD relating to infiltration and runoff. Herbaceous ground cover was somewhat less than expected in the ESD.

Indicators assessing biotic integrity for the site all fell into "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Late growing season precipitation was greater than normal in 2006, making the annual production relatively high compared to the production potential for the ecosite. Shrubs (snakeweed and catclaw) are increasing on the site. There has been a shift in the composition of grasses. Threeawns are the dominant grasses. Black grama is a co-dominant. The habitat is satisfactory for mule deer.

Mare pasture with its study site was visited on April 19, 2007. This pasture contains approximately 1083 acres. About 60% of the pasture is state land; 36% is public land; and 4% is private land. The site representing this pasture is located near the center of the pasture and is within a Very Shallow CP-4 ecosite. According to GIS, the pasture contains a mixture of ecosites that include Very Shallow CP-4, Limestone Hills CP-4, and Loamy CP-2. Most of the pasture contains gently sloping, undulating terrain ranging from approximately 4100 to 4250 feet elevation. The pasture is drained by Middle Arroyo and Salt Creek. Cattle were in the pasture at the time of the visit. There was moderate to heavy use on black grama and light use on other forage plants. Trailing was present in the vicinity of the study plot.

There is some decline in soil stability as indicated by the presence of flow patterns and the "moderate" pedestalling. Several indicators for soil stability and hydrologic function were trending toward "moderate". There is an increase in snakeweed over what is expected for the site. This may be affecting infiltration and runoff. The area is well armored by surface rock.

In addition to the increase in shrubs and half shrubs, there has been a shift in composition in grasses. The area is becoming a threeawn / Tridens grassland instead of a black grama dominated grassland. The area is satisfactory for mule deer.

North Mill pasture with its study site was visited on April 19, 2007. This pasture contains approximately 2295 acres. Approximately 67% of the pasture is public land; 33% is state land; and 7% is private land. The site representing this pasture is located on state land near the center of the pasture and is within a Loamy CP-2 ecosite. According to GIS, other ecosites within the pasture include Limestone Hills CP-4 and Very Shallow CP-4. Most of the pasture contains gently sloping, undulating terrain ranging from approximately 4200 to 4400 feet elevation. Middle Arroyo passes through the southern part of the pasture. Cattle were not observed in the pasture, but there had been light grazing use on black grama. Cattle trails were evident between water sources.

Soil remains relatively stable, but there is has been loss as indicated by the presence of flow patterns and the "moderate" pedestalling. Gullies are beginning to form in association with cow trails. These are uncommon but are unstable.

Hydrologic function was rated similarly. Herbaceous ground cover was greater than expected due to the thick Tobosa grass cover. Litter amount was near expected.

Most indicators assessing biotic integrity for the site fell into "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Functional / Structural Groups rated "moderate" because the area is heavily dominated by tobosa grass with a significant reduction of various grama grasses. Late growing season precipitation was greater than normal in 2006, making the annual production relatively high compared to the production potential for the ecosite. Cholla are widely scattered throughout the site. The area provides suitable habitat for pronghorn and mule deer.

The Sandhills pasture has two study sites, #1 and #2 that were visited on April 20, 2007. This pasture contains approximately 1078 acres and has a mixture of public, private and state land. Site #1 is located on private land; site #2 is located on public land. Each is within a Loamy CP-2 ecosite. According to GIS, other ecosites within the pasture include Very Shallow CP-4, Shallow CP-2, and Sandhills CP-2. The pasture contains gently sloping, undulating terrain ranging from approximately 4100 to 4260 feet elevation. Salt Creek runs through the south half of the pasture. A tributary to Salt Creek runs through the north half. Cattle were in the pasture at the time of the visit. Grazing use was light and mostly on blue grama.

Soils are relatively stable in the vicinity of study site 64029-SANDHILLS #1-F278; however, there is evidence of erosion. All soil stability indicators rated either "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Some soil loss has occurred as indicated by pedestalling.

Hydrologic function was rated similarly. Herbaceous ground cover was near what is expected for the site, but occasional bare areas are having a slight effect on runoff and infiltration.

Indicators assessing biotic integrity for the site all fell into "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Late growing season precipitation was greater than normal in 2006, making the annual production relatively high compared to the production potential for the ecosite (60 - 80% of potential). The area has some characteristics of a bottomland site. Tobosa grass is much less than expected for a loamy site. Blue grama is the dominant grass. Cholla on the site are probably associated with an old sheep bedding ground. The area is suitable for pronghorn and mule deer.

Soil is also relatively stable at site 64029-SANDHILLS #2-F303. All soil stability indicators rated either "slight to moderate" or "none to slight", however, Water Flow Patterns and Pedestalling are trending toward a "moderate" rating. There has been some soil loss as indicated by pedestalling. Bare ground is slightly less than expected for the site, but the average bare ground values (from the data) are higher than expected. There are no gullies on the site, but there is active erosion in the adjacent draw bottom that is associated with an old road. Hydrologic function was rated similarly. Herbaceous ground cover is somewhat higher than expected in the ESD.

Indicators assessing biotic integrity for the site all fell into "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Late growing season precipitation was greater than normal in 2006, making the annual production relatively high compared to the production potential for the ecosite (>80% of potential). There has been a shift in the composition of grasses compared to the ESD. Tobosa grass is the dominant grass. Blue grama and black grama are still well represented in the composition. The area is suitable for pronghorn and mule deer. Southwest pasture with its study site was visited on April 19, 2007. This pasture contains approximately 998 acres with a mixture of public, state, and private land. The majority of the pasture is public land. The site representing this pasture is located on public land in the south half of the pasture and is within a Shallow Cool CP-2 ecosite. According to GIS, other ecosites within the pasture include Shallow Sand CP-2, Very Shallow CP-4, and mixtures of Sandy Plains CP-2 and Loamy CP-2. This study site appears to have Loamy CP-2 mixed with it. The pasture contains gently sloping, undulating terrain ranging from approximately 4200 to 4330 feet elevation. Some grazing use was evident at the time of the visit. Sideoats grama and New Mexico feathergrass were moderately to heavily grazed. Cattle trails were evident through the site.

Soil remains relatively stable, but there is has been soil loss as indicated by the presence of flow patterns and the "moderate" pedestalling. There is some active rill formation due to cow trails that are beginning to form gullies. Bare ground is about what is expected for the site. Mini terracettes are forming in some of the flow patterns. Biotic crusts are generally limited to protected areas. The site appears to be recovering from severe drought. Erosion will continue as the site recovers.

Hydrologic function was rated similarly. Herbaceous ground cover was greater than expected for the site.

Indicators assessing biotic integrity for the site all fell into "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Late growing season precipitation was greater than normal in 2006, making the annual production relatively high compared to the production potential for the ecosite (>80% of potential). Shrubs and half shrubs are more common than expected in the ESD. Snakeweed has increased substantially on the site. Beargrass (Nolina spp.) is also increasing. Some decadence was observed in threeawns. The area is suitable for pronghorn and mule deer. Will Johnson pasture with its study site was visited on March 21, 2007. This pasture contains approximately 4843 acres with a mixture of public and private land. The study site representing this pasture is located on public land near the center of the pasture. It is within a Loamy CP-2 ecosite. According to GIS, most of the pasture consists of a Very Shallow CP-4 ecosite with lesser amounts of Limestone Hills CP-4 and Loamy CP-2. The pasture contains gently to moderately sloping, undulating terrain ranging from approximately 4160 to near 4500 feet elevation. Rock Tank Canyon bisects the pasture. Some grazing use was evident at the time of the visit and was primarily on black grama. There is no longer road access to this site. The old road is now a gully.

Soil remains relatively stable, but soil loss through sheet erosion is evident by the amount of pedestalling, which rated "moderate". Bare ground is within the expected range but is approaching the high end. Soil surface resistance to erosion appears to be moving to a "moderate" departure from the ESD. There are no rills or gullies at the site, but an old road leading to the site has formed an active gully.

Hydrologic function was rated similarly. Herbaceous ground cover was near what is expected in the ESD. Bare areas are contributing to increased runoff and reduced infiltration.

Most indicators assessing biotic integrity for the site fell into "slight to moderate" or "none to slight". Late growing season precipitation was greater than normal in 2006. Even so, this site only produced 40 - 60% of potential for a Loamy CP-2. (This site has characteristics of a Shallow CP-4, which would have a lower potential production). Species diversity is still relatively good, but the area is no longer dominated by blue and black grama as expected for the site. Threeawns are about equal to gramas in the composition and burrograss appears to be increasing. The area is suitable habitat for pronghorn and mule deer.

It is the professional opinion of the Assessment Team, public land within Will Johnson Tank, allotment #64029 meets Upland and Biotic Standards. There are no Riparian issues present therefore this standard was not addressed. See site notes, comments and recommendations for further information regarding this assessment.

Recommendations: All study sites show a shift in composition within the grass community. For those areas where tobosa grass dominates, consider prescribed burning or other disturbance (i.e. herd effect) followed by appropriate rest to improve vegetative diversity. For all sites, consider alternating or changing the timing and duration of grazing to allow desirable forage plants to reestablish and reproduce.

Gullies are mostly associated with roads and cow trails. Some roads passing through the allotment have resulted in accelerated runoff into local drainages. There is active cutting

occurring. All the roads within this allotment should be evaluated for this condition and corrective measures taken.

| RFC |)s Uplan | d and Biotic Standa | rd Ass | ses | sment Sui | mmary W | orks | heet | |
|--|--------------------------|---|-------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|----------------------|
| | | SITE 64029- | ARRO | ΟY | O-E205 | | | | |
| Legal I | Land Desc | SWSW 14 0080S 0210 Meridian 23 | E | Acreage | | reage | 1380 | | |
| | Ecosite | 070DY158NM VERY SHALLOW CP-4 | | Photo Taken | | aken | Y | | |
| The state of the s | Watershed | 13060005060 MIDDLE | 3060005060 MIDDLE | | | | | | |
| | Observers | JACKSON; DILLEY | JACKSON; DILLEY | | C | bservation | Date | 04/19 | 9/2007 |
| County S | oil Survey | NM644 CHAVES NOI | RTH | | | Soil Var/T | axad | | |
| Soil | Map Unit | EaC | | | S | oil Taxon N | Name | ECT | OR |
| Tex | ture Class | NM644 CBV-L | | | | Soil I | Phase | ECT | OR |
| Texture | e Modifier | NM644 VERY COBBI LOAM | LY | | | | | | |
| Obse Annual Pre | erved Avg ecipitation | | | Observed Avg Growing Season Precipitation | | | | | |
| | A Annual ecipitation | | 10.63 | NOAA Growing Season Precipitation | | 8.18 | | | |
| NOAA Av | vg Annual ecipitation | | 9.91 | NOAA Avg Growing Season Precipitation 8 | | 8.01 | | | |
| | | Cattle are in the pasture use in the immediate vi | | | | - | re was | s no aj | pparent |
| Part 2. Attr | ibutes and | l Indicators | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | e from Ecologic | | ce Are | eas | |
| Attribute | Indicators | | Extrer | ne | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Sligl Mod | | None to Slight |
| SH | Rills | | | | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| SH | Water Flo | w Patterns | | | | | <u> </u> | ζ | |
| Comments: | Flow patte | erns and short and stable | e | | | | | | |
| SH | Pedestals a | and/or Terracettes | | | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Past forma | ation of pedestalls is evi | dent in | pla | aces. | | | | |
| SH | Bare Grou | ind | | | | | | | X |

| Comments: | Less than expected for the site. | | | | |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---------------|----------|------|
| SH | Gullies | | | | X |
| Comments: | None observed. | | | | |
| S | Wind-scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Areas | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| Н | Litter Movement | | | X | |
| Comments: | Some movement in flow patterns. | | | | |
| SHB | Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion | | | | X |
| Comments: | High aggregate stability. | | | | |
| S H B | Soil Surface Loss or Degradation | | | X | |
| Comments: | Based on old pedestalls. | | | | |
| Н | Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff | | | X | |
| Comments: | Snakeweed is higher than expected for infiltration. Herbaceous ground c | | • | | fect |
| SHB | Compaction Layer | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | X | |
| Comments: | The site has a Loamy site character twhich is the dominant grass. Snakew Black grama is still significant in the expected. | veed has increased | over expected | amounts. | |
| В | Plant Mortality/Decadence | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | |
| Н В | Litter Amount | | | | X |
| Comments: | Litter exceeds expected levels. | | | | |
| В | Annual Production | | | | X |
| Comments: | Greater than 80% of potential. Good | late summer rains | in 2006. | | |
| В | Invasive Plants | | | X | |
| Comments: | Based on the increase in snakeweed. | | | | |
| В | Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants | | | | X |
| Comments: | Grasses were able to produce seed in composition may be due to the timin | | | - | |

| S | Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts X |
|-----------|---|
| Comments: | |
| В | Wildlife Habitat X |
| Comments: | Satisfactory for mule deer and pronghorn. |
| В | Wildlife Populations X |
| Comments: | |
| В | Special Status Species Habitat X |
| Comments: | N/A |
| В | Special Status Species Populations X |
| Comments: | N/A |

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

| Standard Attribute | | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | Soils are stable. Most indicators rate "none to slight". There is little evident of soil movement. | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Biotic | Most indicators rate "none to slight". Biotic integrity is good, but there is a shift in composition from expected. | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Site Notes: Soils are very stable in the vicinity of the study site with little evidence of erosion. Hydrologic function is good, but the increase in snakeweed may be affecting infiltration and runoff. The site has many attributes of a Loamy site as indicated by the dominance of tobosa grass. For a Very Shallow site, tobosa is not listed as one of the grasses occuring on the ecosite. There has been a shift in composition throughout this area. Black grama is significantly reduced from expected. Snakeweed has increased over expected and tobosa grass dominates the composition.

Plant species encountered included:

shrubs: DAFO, GUSA2, GUMI, OPUNT (cholla), OPUNT (prickly pear), ECPE (rainbow cactus), MIAC forbs: Solanum spp. grasses: BOER, ARIST

| RFOs Upla | and and Biotic Standard | l Assessment Summary Wo | orksheet | | |
|---|---|---|------------------|--|--|
| | SITE 64029-G | FRANDE-E206 | | | |
| Legal Land Desc | SESW 21 0080S 0210E Meridian 23 | Acreage | 1860 | | |
| Ecosite | 070DY158NM VERY SHALLOW CP-4 | Photo Taken | Y | | |
| Watershed | 13060005060 MIDDLE | | | | |
| Observers | JACKSON; DILLEY | Observation Date | 04/19/2007 | | |
| County Soil Survey | NM644 CHAVES NORTH | Soil Var/Taxad | | | |
| Soil Map Unit | ECC | Soil Taxon Name | ECTOR | | |
| Texture Class | NM644 CBV-L | Soil Phase | ECTOR- CONGER | | |
| Texture Modifier | NM644 VERY COBBLY LOAM | | | | |
| Observed Avg Annual Precipitation | | Observed Avg Growing Season Precipitation | | | |
| NOAA Annual Precipitation | 10.6 | NOAA Growing Season Precipitation | 8.18 | | |
| NOAA Avg Annual Precipitation | 9.9 | NOAA Avg Growing Season Precipitation | 8.01 | | |
| Disturbances and Animal Use: | "I affle are precent in the pacture I lee (could be lact ceasen) on black grama | | | | |
| Part 2. Attributes a | and Indicators | | | | |
| Departure from Ecological Site Description/Ecological Reference Areas | | | | | |

| Attribute | Indicators | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------|--|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SH | Rills | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| SH | Water Flow Patterns | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Some evidence of minor erosion. connected. Trending toward mode | - | ches have fl | ow patterns | s that are lo | ng and |
| SH | Pedestals and/or Terracettes | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Active pedesalling is occurring in | shrubby a | areas. This i | s trending t | toward mod | lerate. |
| SH | Bare Ground | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Less than expected in the ESD (43 | 3%). | | | | |
| SH | Gullies | | | | | X |
| Comments: | None observed on site. | | | | | |
| S | Wind-scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Areas | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| Н | Litter Movement | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Litter is being displaced by water | and wind | It is notice | able in flov | v patterns. | |
| S H B | Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There is some erosion, but aggreg | ates appea | ar relatively | stable. | | |
| SHB | Soil Surface Loss or Degradation | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Some soil loss has occurred espec | ially in in | terspaces. | | | |
| Н | Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff | | | | X | |
| Comments: | An increase in shrubs and half shraffects runoff and infiltration. | ubs is affe | ecting herba | aceous cove | er which in | turn |
| SHB | Compaction Layer | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Shrubs and half shrubs appear to l grasses. Threeawns are becoming | | | | | |
| В | Plant Mortality/Decadence | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| НВ | Litter Amount | | | | | X |

| Comments: | Exceeds expected. | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------|--------------|--|---|---|--|
| В | Annual Production | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Greater than 80% of potential. | | | | | | |
| В | Invasive Plants | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | No "invasives" were noted, but the increase in "increaser" shrubs causes this to rate "slight to moderate". | | | | | | |
| В | Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There was heavy use on black gra to seed set and maturation or after forage plants could be factor in the | . Timing | and intensit | | | | |
| S | Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | |
| comments. | | | | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Habitat | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | X | |
| В | | | | | X | X | |
| B Comments: | Satisfactory habitat for mule deer. | | | | X | X | |
| B Comments: | Satisfactory habitat for mule deer. | | | | X | X | |
| B Comments: B Comments: | Satisfactory habitat for mule deer. Wildlife Populations Special Status Species Habitat | | | | X | | |
| B Comments: B Comments: B | Satisfactory habitat for mule deer. Wildlife Populations Special Status Species Habitat | | | | X | | |

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

| Standard Attribute | | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized

values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | Soils are relatively stable with only minor signs of erosion, however, water flow patterns and pedestalling are evident. | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Biotic | Biotic integrity is still high, but shrubs and half shrubs are increasing. Grass composition is shifting to a threeawn dominated community. | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Site Notes: There is some evidence of soil movement and loss as indicated by flow patterns and pedestalling. Overall, the area is relatively stable.

Shrubs appear to be increasing. Snakeweed is higher than expected for the site and may be affecting total herbaceous cover.

There was heavy use on black grama.

Plant species encountered included:

shrubs: GUSA2, GUMI, MIAC, DAFO, OPUNT (prickly pear), EULA5 (winterfat), Eagleclaw cactus, forbs: prickly dogweed, ERBO (filaree), SPHAER (globemallow), grasses: BOER, ARIST, TRIDENS, SCBR, ERPU8

| RFOs Upla | RFOs Upland and Biotic Standard Assessment Summary Worksheet | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | SITE 64029-MARE-E204 | | | | | |
| Legal Land Desc | SESW 26 0080S 0210E Meridian 23 | Acreage | 480 | | | |
| Ecosite | 070DY158NM VERY SHALLOW CP-4 | Photo Taken | Y | | | |
| Watershed | 13060005060 MIDDLE | | | | | |
| Observers | JACKSON; DILLEY | Observation Date | 04/19/2007 | | | |
| County Soil Survey | NM644 CHAVES NORTH | Soil Var/Taxad | | | | |
| Soil Map Unit | EaC | Soil Taxon Name | ECTOR | | | |
| | NM644 CBV-L | Soil Phase | ECTOR | | | |
| Texture Modifier | NM644 VERY COBBLY LOAM | | | | | |
| Observed Avg | | Observed Avg Growing | | | | |

| | Annual pitation | | | | Seaso | on Precipita | tion | | |
|----------------|------------------------------|---|--------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| NOAA Precij | Annual pitation | 1 | 0.63 | | NOAA G | Frowing Sea Precipita | - 11 | 8.18 | |
| | AA Avg Annual pitation | | 9.91 | NC | OAA Avg G | _ | ng Season ecipitation 8. | | |
| Disturban | | Cattle were in the pasture but moderate to heavy on through the site. | | | | | _ | - | _ |
| Part 2. Attr | ibutes a | and Indicators | | | | | | | |
| | | Departure from Ecological Site Description/Ecological Reference Areas | | | | | | | |
| Attribute | Indicators | | Extr | eme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slig Mod | ht to erate | None to Slight |
| SH | Rills | | | | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| SH | Water 1 | Flow Patterns | | | | | 7 | X | |
| Comments: | 1 | ng toward moderate. Conne ing. There is evidence of en | | - | d length of | flow patter | ns ma | y be | |
| SH | Pedesta | als and/or Terracettes | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | There i | s some active pedestalling | partio | cular | on areas wi | ith greater s | slope. | | |
| SH | Bare G | round | | | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Less th | an expected in the ESD. Th | nere i | s lots | s of surface | rock. | | | |
| SH | Gullies | | | | | | | | X |
| Comments: | None o | bserved. | | | | | | | |
| S | | coured, Blowouts, and/or tion Areas | | | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| Н | Litter N | Movement | | | | | 7 | X | |
| Comments: | Some d | lisplacement particularly in | flow | patt | erns. | | | | |
| SHB | Soil Su Erosion | rface Resistance to | | | | | Σ | K | |
| Comments: | There i | s some reduction in resista | nce in | n the | interspaces | • | | | |
| SHB | Soil Su | rface Loss or Degradation | | | | | Σ | K | |
| Comments: | Some s | oil loss has occurred as ind | licate | d by | pedestallin | g particular | ly in i | inters | paces. |

| Н | Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff | | | | X | |
|----------------|---|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Comments: | Herbaceous cover is somewhat less snakeweed over expected. This is | | | _ | ficant incre | ease in |
| SHB | Compaction Layer | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Shrubs and half shrubs are increas and threeawns are becoming the d represented in the composition bu | lominant g | grasses. Bla | ck grama is | still well | Tridens |
| В | Plant Mortality/Decadence | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Some mortality was observed in b | lack gran | ıa. | | | |
| Н В | Litter Amount | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Greater than expected. | | | | | |
| В | Annual Production | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Greater than 80% of potential. The | ere were ş | good late su | mmer rains | in 2006. | |
| В | Invasive Plants | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Cholla is widely scattered through snakeweed is significantly higher | | | may be inc | creasing an | d |
| В | Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants | | | | | X |
| Comments: | It appears that all grasses were ablareduction in black grama suggests | | | | | |
| S | Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Evident throughout the site but no | t continu | ous. | | | |
| В | Wildlife Habitat | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Satisfactory for mule deer. | | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Populations | | | | X | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| | Special Status Species Habitat | | | | | X |
| В | | | | | | |
| | N/A | | | | | |
| В | N/A Special Status Species Populations | | | | | X |
| B Comments: | Special Status Species Populations | | | | | X |

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

| Standard Attribute | | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | Most indicators rate "slight to moderate" or "none to slight", but the area is experiencing some soil loss as indicated by pedestalling and flow patterns. | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| Biotic | Biotic integrity is satisfactory, but there is an increase in the shrubby component and a shift in grass compositon from expected. The area is transitioning to a threeawn / Tridens grass - shrub mix. | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Site Notes: There is some decline in soil stability as indicated by the presence of flow patterns and the "moderate" pedestalling. Several indicators for soil stability and hydrologic function were trending toward "moderate". There is an increase in snakeweed over what is expected for the site. This may be affecting infiltration and runoff. The area is well armored by surface rock.

In addition to the increase in shrubs and half shrubs, there has been a shift in composition in grasses. The area is becoming a threeawn / Tridens grassland instead of a black grama dominated grassland.

Plants encountered included: shrubs: GUSA2, GUMI, MIAC, rainbow cactus, DAFO forbs: SPHAE (globemallow) grasses: ARIST, TRIDENS, BOER, SCBR, ERPU8, SPCR

RFOs Upland and Biotic Standard Assessment Summary Worksheet SITE 64029-NORTH MILL-E207

| Legal L | and Desc | SENE 16 0080S 0210E Meridian 23 | | | Acreage | 1630 | | |
|----------------|----------------------------|--|----------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Ecosite | 070BY052NM LOAMY CP-2 | - | P | hoto Taken | Y | | |
| W | atershed | 13060005060 MIDDLE | | | | | | |
| | Observers | JACKSON; DILLEY | | Obser | vation Date | 04/19/200 | 7 | |
| County So | il Survey | NM644 CHAVES NORTH | | Soil | l Var/Taxad | | | |
| Soil I | Map Unit | RDB | | Soil T | 'axon Name | REAGAN | | |
| Text | ture Class NM644 SIL | | | | Soil Phase | REAGAN CONGER | | |
| Texture | Modifier | NM644 SILT LOAM | | | | | | |
| Obser | rved Avg | | | Observed A | vg Growing | | | |
| Annual Pred | cipitation | | | Season P | recipitation | | | |
| | A Annual cipitation | 10.0 | 63 N | NOAA Growing Season Precipitation | | X | | |
| | A Avg Annual Precipitation | | 91 | NOAA Avg Growing Season Precipitation | | | II X () I | |
| Disturba | nces and | A water pipeline and roa passing through the site. | | through the | site. There | are cattle tr | ails | |
| | | d Indicators | | | | | | |
| 1 41 (2. 11() | | a marcators | Departu | re from Ecol | logical Site | | | |
| | | | | ion/Ecologi | | ce Areas | | |
| Attribute | Indicator | s | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight | |
| SH | Rills | | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | No rills. | <u>'</u> | | | | | | |
| SH | Water Fl | ow Patterns | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | Occasion | al. Short and stable. | | | | | | |
| S H | Pedestals | and/or Terracettes | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | Pedestals | and small terracettes are | in bare, | disturbed ar | eas that hav | e active ero | osion. | |
| SH | Bare Gro | und | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Less than | expected in the ESD. | | | | | | |
| SH | Gullies | | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | Gullies a | re forming in association | with cov | v trails. The | y are uncom | ımon, but a | ctive. | |
| S | Wind-sco | oured, Blowouts, and/or | | | | | X | |

| | Deposition Areas | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Comments: | | | | | | | | |
| Н | Litter Movement | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | There is some displacement. | | | | | | | |
| SHB | Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | Reduction in interspaces. | | | | | | | |
| SHB | Soil Surface Loss or Degradation | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | Some soil loss in interspaces. | | | | | | | |
| Н | Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff | | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | There is a thick cover of tobosa grathe ESD. | ass. Herbac | ceous cove | er is higher | than expe | cted in | | |
| SHB | Compaction Layer | | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | There is a significant shift in grass composition as compared with the ESD. Tobosa grass is by far the dominant grass. Blue grama is poorly represented. Black grama and sideoats grama are not in the composition | | | | | | | |
| | and sideoats grama are not in the | | | represente | a. Black | grama | | |
| B | | | | represente | ed. Black | grama X | | |
| B Comments: | and sideoats grama are not in the | | | represente | d. Black | | | |
| | and sideoats grama are not in the | | | represente | X | | | |
| Comments: | and sideoats grama are not in the Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount | composition | | represente | | | | |
| Comments: | and sideoats grama are not in the Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount | composition | | represente | | | | |
| Comments: H B Comments: B | and sideoats grama are not in the application of the Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount Litter is near what is expected in the Plant Mortality/Decadence | he ESD. | 1. | represente | | X | | |
| Comments: H B Comments: B | and sideoats grama are not in the operation of the Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount Litter is near what is expected in the Plant Mortality/Decadence | he ESD. | 1. | represente | | X | | |
| Comments: H B Comments: B Comments: | and sideoats grama are not in the operation of the Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount Litter is near what is expected in the Annual Production Estimated to be greater than 80% Invasive Plants | he ESD. of potential | n | represente | X | X | | |
| Comments: H B Comments: B Comments: B | and sideoats grama are not in the operation of the Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount Litter is near what is expected in the Annual Production Estimated to be greater than 80% Invasive Plants | he ESD. of potential | n | represente | X | X | | |
| Comments: H B Comments: B Comments: B Comments: | and sideoats grama are not in the operation of the Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount Litter is near what is expected in the Annual Production Estimated to be greater than 80% Invasive Plants Cholla are widely scattered through Reproductive Capability of | he ESD. of potential ghout the sit | te. | duction and | X X | X | | |
| Comments: H B Comments: B Comments: B Comments: | and sideoats grama are not in the or Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount Litter is near what is expected in the Annual Production Estimated to be greater than 80% Invasive Plants Cholla are widely scattered through Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants There were no apparent limitation desireable forage grasses suggests | he ESD. of potential ghout the sit | te. | duction and | X X | X | | |
| Comments: H B Comments: B Comments: B Comments: B Comments: | and sideoats grama are not in the or Plant Mortality/Decadence Litter Amount Litter is near what is expected in the Annual Production Estimated to be greater than 80% Invasive Plants Cholla are widely scattered through Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants There were no apparent limitation desireable forage grasses suggests lack of fire. Physical/Chemical/Biological | the ESD. of potential ghout the sit sobserved, a that this m | te. but the re ay have be | duction and | X X A/or loss of the along | X | | |

| Comments: | Satisfactory for pronghorn antelope. | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| В | Wildlife Populations | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Habitat | | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Populations | | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | | | |

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

| Standard Attribute | | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | Soils remain relatively stable, but soil loss has occurred as indicated by pedestalling. | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| Biotic | Production is very good, but there has been a substantial change in grass composition from the ESD. Litter production was near expected. | 0 | 1 | 12 |

Site Notes: Soils on this site remain relatively stable. There has been some soil loss as indicated by pedestalling and the formation of small terracettes, particularly in areas with less ground cover. Cow trails are forming gullies. The area is heavily dominated by tobosa grass with a significant reduction of various grama grasses.

Plants encountered included: shurbs: OPUNT (cholla, prickly pear), GUSA2 forbs: desert holly, verbena, Corydalis aurea, others grasses: HIMU, SCBR, BOER

| RFC |)s Upla | and and Biotic Standar | d Assess | sment Su | mmarv V | Vorksheet | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--|----------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | I | SITE 64029-SA | | | | | | |
| Legal Lar | nd Desc | SWSW 33 0080S 0210E Meridian 23 | | | Acreage | 340 | | |
| | Ecosite | 070BY052NM LOAMY CP-2 | | Pho | oto Taken | Y | | |
| Wa | tershed | 13060005070 SALT | | | | | | |
| Ot | servers | BRITTON, REBITZKI | | Observa | tion Date | 04/20/2007 | | |
| County Soil | Survey | NM644 CHAVES NORTH | | Soil V | /ar/Taxad | | | |
| Soil M | ap Unit | HDA | | Soil Tax | on Name | HODGINS | | |
| Textur | e Class | NM644 SIL | | S | COIL Phace | HODGINS- RANSTEIN | | |
| Texture N | Iodifier | NM644 SILT LOAM | | | | | | |
| | ed Avg Annual pitation | | | served Avg Season Pre | • II | | | |
| NOAA Preci | Annual pitation | 10.63 | NO. | AA Growir Pre | ng Season cipitation | 8.18 | | |
| | AA Avg Annual pitation | 9.93 | | NOAA Avg Season Pre | - I | | 8.01 | |
| Disturban Anim | ces and nal Use: | Cattle were in the pasture throughout and was mostly the site. | | | | | | |
| Part 2. Attr | ibutes a | and Indicators | | | | | | |
| | | | | e from Ecol on/Ecologic | _ | | | |
| Attribute | Indicate | ors | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight | |
| SH | Rills | | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | No rills | | | | | | | |
| SH | Water I | Flow Patterns | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | Short a | nd stable. | | | | | | |

| SH | Pedestals and/or Terracettes | | | | X | |
|-----------|---|------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|--------|
| Comments: | There is some pedestalling, particu | larly in f | low patterns | S. | | |
| SH | Bare Ground | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Near expected. | | | | | |
| SH | Gullies | | | | | X |
| Comments: | None on sight. | | | | | |
| S | Wind-scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Areas | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| Н | Litter Movement | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Minimal movement. Fairly evenly | distribute | ed. | | | |
| SHB | Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Moderate aggregate stability. | | | | | |
| SHB | Soil Surface Loss or Degradation | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Some soil loss in interspaces. | | | | | |
| Н | Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Overall herbaceous ground cover i | s near ex | pected, but | it is not ever | ıly distrib | uted. |
| SHB | Compaction Layer | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There has been a shift in the grass tobosa grass. Blue grama is the dot to be increasing. Black grama is al | minant gr | ass, but bur | | | |
| В | Plant Mortality/Decadence | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| НВ | Litter Amount | | | | X | |
| Comments: | As expected. | | | | | |
| В | Annual Production | | | | X | |
| Comments: | 60 - 80% of potential. | | | | | |
| В | Invasive Plants | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Cholla is concentrated on what wa none around the study plot. | s probabl | y an old she | eep bedding | area. The | re was |
| В | Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants | | | | | X |

| Comments: | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|---------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| S | Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | Evident throughout, but continuity is | broken. | | | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Habitat | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | Satisfactory for pronghorn antelope and mule deer. | | | | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Populations | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Habitat | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Populations | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | | | |

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

| Standard Attribute | | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | Soils are relatively stable with no problem areas noted. | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Biotic | Biotic integrity is relatively good. Production is good but not as high as expected for the site. Grass | 0 | 0 | 13 |

| composition is good, but there has been a shift in | | |
|---|--|--|
| composition. Black grama is lacking. Burrograss and | | |
| threeawns appear to be increasing. | | |

Site Notes: The area has some characteristics of a bottomland site. Tobosa grass is much less than expected for a loamy site. Blue grama is the dominant grass. There is good ground cover and the site is relatively stable.

Cholla on the site are probably associated with an old sheep bedding ground.

Plants encountered included:

shrubs: OPUNT (cholla) forbs: ASTER spp., ASTRA (locoweed), Verbena, CIRCIUM spp., bladderpod

| orace or position | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| RFOs Upla | nd and Biotic Standard | l Assessment Summary V | Vorksheet | | | | |
| | SITE 64029-SAN | DHILLS #2-F303 | | | | | |
| Legal Land Desc | SWNW 33 0080S 0210E Meridian 23 | Acreage | 340 | | | | |
| Ecosite | 070BY052NM LOAMY CP-2 | Photo Taken | Y | | | | |
| Watershed | 13060005070 SALT | | | | | | |
| Observers | BRITTON; REBITZKI | Observation Date | 04/20/2007 | | | | |
| County Soil Survey | Soil Survey NM644 CHAVES NORTH Soil Var/Taxad | | | | | | |
| Soil Map Unit | HDA | Soil Taxon Name | HODGINS | | | | |
| Texture Class NM644 SIL | | Soil Phase | HODGINS- RANSTEIN | | | | |
| Texture Modifier | NM644 SILT LOAM | | | | | | |
| Observed Avg Annual Precipitation | | Observed Avg Growing Season Precipitation | | | | | |
| NOAA Annual Precipitation | 10.63 | NOAA Growing Season Precipitation | 8.18 | | | | |
| NOAA Avg Annual Precipitation | 001 | | 8.01 | | | | |
| | | ite. Grazing use was light at the site that is causing erosion | | | | | |
| Part 2. Attributes a | nd Indicators | | | | | | |
| | Departure from Ecological Site Description/Ecological Reference Areas | | | | | | |

| Attribute | Indicators | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------|--|-------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SH | Rills | | | | | X |
| Comments: | No rills, but there is sheet erosion. | • | | | | |
| SH | Water Flow Patterns | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Flow patterns are short and stable. | • | | | | |
| SH | Pedestals and/or Terracettes | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Pedestals have formed and are for | ming in fl | ow patterns | s. | | |
| SH | Bare Ground | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Bare ground is about what is expeexpected. | cted in the | e ESD. The | average is | higher than | |
| S H | Gullies | | | | | X |
| Comments: | None actually on site, but there is that is associated with an old road | | lly formation | on in the nea | arby draw b | ottom |
| S | Wind-scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Areas | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| Н | Litter Movement | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There is some displacement. | | | | | |
| SHB | Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Moderate aggregate stability. Som | ne crusting | 5. | | | <u> </u> |
| SHB | Soil Surface Loss or Degradation | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Some soil loss in flow patterns and | d bare spa | ices. | | | <u> </u> |
| Н | Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Herbaceous ground cover is some | what high | er than exp | ected in the | ESD. | |
| SHB | Compaction Layer | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Grass composition has changed. Tand black grama are still well repr | _ | ss is the do | ominant gras | ss. Blue gra | ma |
| В | Plant Mortality/Decadence | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| Н В | Litter Amount | | | | | X |

| Comments: | Equals or exceeds expected. | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|------------|----------|--------------|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| В | Annual Production | | | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | Estimated to be greater than 80% of potential. | | | | | | | | | |
| В | Invasive Plants | | | | X | | | | | |
| Comments: | Cholla are widely scattered throug the draw bottom and on the adjace within this site. | • | | | | _ | | | | |
| В | Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants | | | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | No apparent restrictions. Desireab | le grasses | produced | seed in 2006 | 5. | | | | | |
| S | Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts | | | | X | | | | | |
| Comments: | Evident throughout, but continuity | is broke | n. | | | | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Habitat | | | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | Satisfactory for pronghorn antelop | e and mu | le deer. | | | | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Populations | | | | X | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Habitat | | | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Populations | | | | | X | | | | |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | | | | | |

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

| Standard Attribute | | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate

box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | Soils remain relatively stable. There has been some soil loss as indicated by pedestalling. | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Biotic | Production is good. Litter amount is good. There has been a shift on grass composition from the ESD. Tobosa is the dominant grass, but blue and black grama are still reasonably well represented in the composition. | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Site Notes: Soils are relatively stable, but there has been some soil loss as indicated by pedestalling. The site is dominated by tobosa grass. Blue and Black grama are still reasonably represented in the composition.

The adjacent draw has some active cutting associated with an old road. It also shows signs of stabilizing.

Plants encountered included: shrubs: GUSA2, OPUNT (cholla, prickly pear) forbs: verbena, bladderpod, desert holly, SPHAER (globemallow) grasses: BOGR2, BOER, HIMU, SPAI, PAOB, ARIST

| RFOs Upl | RFOs Upland and Biotic Standard Assessment Summary Worksheet | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | SITE 64029-SW-F279 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legal Land Desc | SENW 11 0090S 0210E Meridian 23 | Acreage | 1165 | | | | | | | | |
| Ecosite | 070BY075NM SHALLOW CP-2 | Photo Taken | Y | | | | | | | | |
| Watershed | 13060005070 SALT | | | | | | | | | | |
| Observers | BRITTON; REBITZKI | Observation Date | 04/19/2007 | | | | | | | | |
| County Soil Survey | NM644 CHAVES NORTH | Soil Var/Taxad | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil Map Unit | CRB | Soil Taxon Name | CONGER | | | | | | | | |
| Texture Class | NM644 L | Soil Phase | CONGER- REAGAN | | | | | | | | |
| Texture Modifier | NM644 LOAM | | | | | | | | | | |
| Observed Avg Annual Precipitation | | Observed Avg Growing Season Precipitation | | | | | | | | | |
| NOAA Annual | 10.63 | NOAA Growing Season | 8.18 | | | | | | | | |

| Precij | oitation | | | Pr | recipitation | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | A Avg Annual oitation | 9.9 | 1 NOAA | NOAA Avg Growing Season Precipitation | | 8. | |
| Disturbane Anim | al Hse | Light use overall with moograma; heavy use on New and through the site. | | • | | • | |
| Part 2. Attr | ibutes a | and Indicators | | | | | |
| | | | _ | e from Ecologic | - | ce Areas | |
| Attribute | Indicate | ors | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
| SH | Rills | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Cow tra | ails in the area are forming | rills. Oth | erwise, no r | ills. | | |
| SH | Water I | Flow Patterns | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Flow pa | atterns are evident. This co | uld be mo | oderate. | | | |
| SH | Pedesta | ls and/or Terracettes | | | X | | |
| Comments: | | als are frequent in flow patts. The site appears to stabil | | | | able in flow | , |
| SH | Bare G | round | | | | X | |
| Comments: | No larg | ge open patches. Percent ba | re ground | is within th | ne expected | range. | |
| SH | Gullies | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | | n the immediate vicinity of due to cow trails. | the study | plot, but gu | ıllies are be | eginning to | form |
| S | | coured, Blowouts, and/or tion Areas | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | | |
| Н | Litter N | Movement | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Litter is | s fairly uniform, but past di | splaceme | nt is eviden | t. | | |
| SHB | Soil Su Erosion | rface Resistance to | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Modera | nte soil aggregate stability. | There is s | some reduct | ion through | out. | |
| SHB | Soil Su | rface Loss or Degradation | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There h | as been soil loss as indicat | ed by ped | lestals. | | | |
| Н | and Dis | ommunity Composition stribution Relative to tion and Runoff | | | | | X |

| Comments: | Herbaceous ground cover exceeds | the exped | cted amoun | t. | | |
|--------------|---|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| SHB | Compaction Layer | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There is an increase in shrubs and grass composition from expected. represented, but threeawns are mu | Black gra | ıma and oth | er gramas a | | |
| В | Plant Mortality/Decadence | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| НВ | Litter Amount | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Higher than expected in the ESD. | | | | | |
| В | Annual Production | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Good production in 2006. Exceed | s 80% of 1 | potential. | | | |
| В | Invasive Plants | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There are no truly invasive plants, (NOLIN) appears to be increasing | | | | ng. Beargra | iss |
| В | Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Desirable grasses produced seed in | n 2006. | | | | |
| S | Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts | | | X | | |
| Comments: | Biotic crusts are mostly in protect | ed areas. | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Habitat | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Satisfactory for antelope. | | | | | |
| В | Wildlife Populations | | | | X | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Habitat | | | | | X |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | |
| В | Special Status Species Populations | | | | | X |
| Comments: | N/A | | | | | |
| Part 3. Sun | ımarv | | | | | |
| A. Indicator | Summary - Each of the indicators ndicator is placed in a category (col | | | | | outes |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | Extreme | | | Slight |
|---|------------|---|---------|---|---|--------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | There is some instability and soil loss at the site as indicated by pedestalling. Water flow patterns may be stabilizing. The area appears to be recovering. | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| Biotic | Production is good. Species diversity is fairly good. Black grama and other gramas are still well represented in the composition, but threeawns are higher than expected. | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Site Notes: Soils are relatively stable. There has been some sheet erosion. The site appears to be recovering from severe drought. Erosion will continue as the site recovers. Plants were vigorous. Beargrass and catclaw appear to be increasing.

Plants encountered included:

shrubs: MIAC, OPUNT (cholla and prickly pear), yucca, GUSA2 forbs: verbena, Allium, Circium spp., several unk. forbs grasses: BOER, BOGR2, BOCU, ARIST, ARPU, TRPI, SCSC, PLMU3

| RFOs Upland and Biotic Standard Assessment Summary Worksheet | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| SITE 64029-WILL JOHNSON-E202 | | | | | | | |
| Legal Land Desc | SENW 34 0070S 0210E Meridian 23 | Acreage | 4590 | | | | |
| Ecosite | 070BY052NM LOAMY CP-2 | Photo Taken | Y | | | | |
| Watershed | 13060005070 SALT | | | | | | |
| Observers | JACKSON; BRITTON | Observation Date | 03/21/2007 | | | | |
| County Soil Survey | NM644 CHAVES | Soil Var/Taxad | | | | | |

| | | NORTH | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| So | il Map Unit | RDB | | | Soil 7 | Taxon Name | REAGA | N | |
| Те | Texture Class NM644 L | | | Soil Phase | | REAGAN- CONGER | | | |
| Texture Modifier NM644 SILT LOAM | | | | | | | | | |
| | Avg Annual Precipitation | Observed Avg Growin Season Precipitation | | | · | | | | |
| | AA Annual Precipitation | 10 | 0.63 | N | | wing Seasor Precipitation | on 8.1 | | |
| | Avg Annual Precipitation | Ç | 9.91 | | | vg Growing Precipitation | wing | | |
| | | The area had been ligh gas pipeline passes thr | | | | use was on | black grai | ma. A | |
| Part 2. Attr | ibutes and | Indicators | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | e from Ecol on/Ecologic | ogical Site | ce Areas | | |
| Attribute | Indicators | | Extre | ne | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight | |
| SH | Rills | | | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| SH | Water Flow | v Patterns | | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | Flow patter | ns are short and stable. | There | is | evidence of | erosion. | | | |
| SH | Pedestals as | nd/or Terracettes | | | | X | | | |
| Comments: | There is act | tive pedestalling occurr | ring in | flov | w paths, int | erspaces and | d exposed | areas. | |
| SH | Bare Groun | nd | | | | | X | | |
| Comments: | | d is within the expected ut recent years are belo | | | | approaches | the upper | range | |
| SH | Gullies | | | $\overline{}$ | | | | X | |
| Comments: | None on sit road. | te, but there is a gully w | vest of | the | study site t | hat is the re | sult of an | old | |
| S | Wind-scour Deposition | red, Blowouts, and/or Areas | | | | | | X | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | |
| Н | Litter Move | ement | | | | | X | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| SHB | Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion | | | | X | |
|--|--|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| Comments: | Moderate soil aggregate stability | in interspa | ices. This is | trending to | ward mod | erate. |
| SHB | Soil Surface Loss or Degradation | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Soil loss has occurred as indicated | d by pedes | talling. | | | |
| Н | Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration and Runoff | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Patchy bare areas are affecting information cover is somewhat less than expect | | nd runoff. T | otal herbac | eous grou | nd |
| S H B | Compaction Layer | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| В | Functional/Structural Groups | | | | X | |
| Comments: | There is still a good mix of grasse much reduced from expected. For | | • | | nd gramas | are |
| В | Plant Mortality/Decadence | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| Н В | Litter Amount | | | | X | |
| Comments: | Falls within expected range for th | e ESD. | | | | |
| В | Annual Production | | | X | | |
| Comments: | Late growing season precip was hestimated to be between 40 and 60 | - | - | 2006. Prod | uction is | |
| D | T 1 D1 | | | | | |
| R | Invasive Plants | | | | | X |
| Comments: | Rarely present on site. | | | | | X |
| Comments: | | | | | | X |
| B Comments: B Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of | l in 2006. | | | | |
| Comments: B Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants | l in 2006. | | | X | |
| Comments: B Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants Grasses were able to produce seed Physical/Chemical/Biological | | s broken. | | X | |
| Comments: B Comments: S Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants Grasses were able to produce seed Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts | | s broken. | | X | |
| Comments: B Comments: S Comments: B | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants Grasses were able to produce seed Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts Evident throughout the site but co | entinuity is | | | X | X |
| Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants Grasses were able to produce seed Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts Evident throughout the site but cowildlife Habitat | entinuity is | | | X | X |
| Comments: B Comments: S Comments: B Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants Grasses were able to produce seed Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts Evident throughout the site but column Wildlife Habitat Satisfactory for mule deer and product of the site of the si | entinuity is | | | | X |
| Comments: B Comments: S Comments: B Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants Grasses were able to produce seed Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts Evident throughout the site but column Wildlife Habitat Satisfactory for mule deer and product of the site of the si | entinuity is | | | | X |
| Comments: B Comments: S Comments: B Comments: B Comments: | Rarely present on site. Reproductive Capability of Perennial Plants Grasses were able to produce seed Physical/Chemical/Biological Crusts Evident throughout the site but cowildlife Habitat Satisfactory for mule deer and prowing Wildlife Populations | entinuity is | | | | X |

| | Populations | | | |
|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Comments: | N/A | | | |

A. Indicator Summary - Each of the indicators are associated with one or more of the attributes below. An indicator is placed in a category (columns) above and summed for each of the Standard Attributes.

| Standard Attribute | | Extreme | Moderate to Extreme | Moderate | Slight to Moderate | None to Slight |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| S | Soil | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| Н | Hydrologic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| В | Biotic | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 |

B. Attribute Summary. In this table, the Extreme and Extreme to Moderate columns in the table above are merged for the *Does not Meet* column, Moderate becomes *May Need More Info*, and Slight to Moderate and None to Slight merge to form the *Meets* columns. Values from the table are summarized below. Space is provided for rationale of the determination. This space should most certainly be used when the determination by the ID team conflicts with the summarized values. Provide the sources of information that lead to the determination. X out the appropriate box for each attribute to denote final agreed upon determination by the ID team.

| Attribute | Rationale | Does Not Meet | May Need More Info | Meets |
|------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Soil | Soils are still relatively stable. Sheet erosion is evident by the amount pedestalling. | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Hydrologic | | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| Biotic | There is still relatively good species diversity, but there has been a reduction in blue and black grama from the expected levels. Annual production is higher than average but only 40 - 60% of potential for a Loamy CP-2. Litter is within the expected range. | 0 | 1 | 12 |

Site Notes: There is no longer any road access to this study site. The old road is now a gully. Terrain is gently sloping and rolling. Soil loss through sheet erosion is evident by the amount of pedestalling. Bare ground is within the expected range but is approaching the high end. Bare areas are contributing to increased runoff and reduced infiltration. Species diversity is still relatively good, but the area is no longer dominated by blue and black grama as expected for the site. Threeawns are about equal to gramas in the composition and burrograss appears to be increasing.

Plant species encountered included: shrubs: prickly pear forbs: ERPU8, dogweed, pussytoes, ASTRA (locoweed), dwarf desert holly, grasses: BOGR2, BOER, ARIST, SCBR, MUAR

Determination of Public Land (Rangeland) Health for 64029 WILL JOHNSON TANK

The Record of Decision (ROD) for the New Mexico Standards for Public Land Health and Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management (dated January 2001) adopted three Standards for Public Land Health. These are (1) Upland Sites Standard, (2) Biotic Communities, Including Native, Threatened, Endangered, and Special Status Species Standard and (3) Riparian Sites Standard.

The ROD also established a process for the BLM Field Offices for implementation. Through a public participation process, the Roswell Field Office developed and adopted indicators to use in conjunction with existing monitoring data to assess these standards.

Field assessment worksheets and other available data that evaluate the local indicators were completed for this allotment. Based on these assessments, it is my determination that public land within Will Johnson Tank, allotment #64029 meets the (1) Upland Sites standard and (2) Biotic Communities, including Native, Threatened, Endangered, and Special Status Species standard. There are no public land Riparian areas on this allotment, therefore this standard was not addressed.

/s/ EDDIE BATESON

08/24/2007

Assistant Field Manager

Date